

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/890,055 09/12/2002		Urs Wuest	7524.23USWO	5754		
23552	7590 05/26/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			SORKIN, I	SORKIN, DAVID L		
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1723			
			DATE MAILED: 05/26/2009	DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/890,055	WUEST ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
David L. Sorkin	1723		

		David L. Sorkin		1723	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover she	et with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE RE	PLY FILED 19 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDIT	ION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. ⊠ The this pla a F	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or sapplication, applicant must timely file one of the followices the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	the same day as filing wing replies: (1) an am stice of Appeal (with ap	g a Notice of a nendment, aff opeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🛚	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date				
b) 📙	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS fi (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (rom the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
nave beer under 37 s set forth in may reduc	is of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late ce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL	on which the petition unc tension and the correspo shortened statutory perior r than three months after	nding amount of for reply origing	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp ng the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR	(41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
	ne proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of	filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) (b) (c)	 ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 	nsideration and/or sea w); tter form for appeal by	materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
(-)	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
_	ne amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	21. See attached Notic	ce of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. 🔲 N	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a n-allowable claim(s).	· 	n a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
hov The Cla Cla Cla	r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) we the new or amended claims would be rejected is profestatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: aim(s) allowed: aim(s) objected to: aim(s) rejected: aim(s) rejected:			ll be entered and an e	explanation of
	aim(s) withdrawn from consideration: VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
B. 🔲 The bee	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bucause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an snot earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
ent sho	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing tered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to be owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections y and was not earlier p	s under appea presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).
	he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the c	ciaims after e	ntry is below or attacr	iea.
11. 🛭 T	he request for reconsideration has been considered but ee attached.	ut does NOT place the	application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1	449) Paper N	lo(s)	
	ther: the amendment filed 19 May 2005 has been ente		·	David L. Sorkin	

David L. Sorkin Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1723 Application/Control Number: 09/890,055

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed 19 May 2005 has been entered. The amendment only cancels non-elected claims and has no bearing upon any finally rejected claim. The status of the claims is as follows:

Claims 8-13 are pending.

Claims 8-13 are rejected.

- 2. Applicant argues that "There is no teaching or suggestion by Wayne to remove the storage tank B ... or to remove the holding bin D or to remove the solvent extractive milling machine E". However, the claims are rejected under section 102 and the grounds for rejection therefore do not involve any proposed modification of the prior art structure. Wayne (US 3,519,431) clearly discloses a heatable mixer in column 6 lines 59-63, expressly stating that the mixer "is steam jacketed to allow heating of the grain while it is being mixed". Column 7, lines 13-17 make clear that the process is a batch process, although the invention being examined is not a process, but an apparatus.
- 3. As seen in Fig. 1, the heatable mixer (which includes "A") is connected to dryer/cooler (L). While connecting structures such as F and J connect these elements, the mixer is nonetheless connected to L. As explained in col. 13, line 28, the grain is "solvent-wet" and "L" removes such solvent, thus drying the grain. While applicant points out that steam may be feed to "L" and that steam is not for cooling, but for heating, just as according to the instant specification hot fluid can be used for drying and cool fluid for cooling, one could provide "L" with cool fluid if one so chose. The pending claims are not process claims. The manner in

Art Unit: 1723

which the claimed apparatus is to be used, such as the temperature of fluid feed to the apparatus, is not germane to the patentability of the apparatus itself.

4. Applicant has failed to point out any structural difference between the claimed device and the prior art device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Sorkin whose telephone number is 571-272-1148. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 -5:30 Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David L. Sorkin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723